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Media vita in morte sumus
Pierre de Manchicourt, a contemporary of Nicolas Gombert and Jacobus Clemens non Papa, was active in both Burgundy and Spain during the reigns of Charles V and Phillip II, culminating in his appointment by the latter as maestro de capilla flamenco in Madrid in 1559. The fact that Attaignant, publisher of the French Royal Court, devoted his fourteenth and final volume of motets in 1539 entirely to Manchicourt’s work (an honour he bestowed on no other, and emulated by Flemish publishers Susato and Phalèse in 1545 and 1554 respectively) bears testament to the composer’s reputation in his day. Manchicourt’s highly polyphonic style of composition lost favour around the time of his death, as the liturgical reforms of the Council of Trent took hold — marking the transition from the High Renaissance to the less florid Late-Renaissance style of Victoria and Palestrina.

Editorial Notes:
This edition is set a tone higher than the original. Editorial accidentals are indicated above the note. Original note values are retained. Bar lines are added only to aid reading and direction: ‘strong’ and ‘weak’ beats implied by their position should never take precedence over phrasing or word stress. Ligatures and coloration in the source are acknowledged with overarchign square brackets and open brackets respectively. Word underlay reflects editorial judgment and is freely adjusted; editorial re-iteration of text not explicit in the source is indicated in italic. In the source, the second line of text in the upper three parts adds the word “in” — i.e. quaeirinus in adiutorum. Although this variation appears in no known source of the antiphon, it is retained in square brackets for the benefit of word underlay in the affected parts.

The relationship between C and 1̷ mensuration signs in 16th-century manuscripts is a source of considerable debate. Where both occur simultaneously in different voice parts, the latter unambiguously diminishes the note values of the former by half; however, in this context, where the each signature appears sequentially in all parts, both should be taken as signifying a semibreve tactus, with the latter slightly faster than the former. For further information on this aspect of mensuration theory, see Kingsbury, Stephen A., “Tempo and Mensural Proportion in the Music of the Sixteenth Century”, The Choral Journal, vol. 42, no. 9, 2002, pp. 25–33 (https://acda.org/files/choral_journals/April_2002_Kingsbury_S.pdf).